Tensions between Religion and Policy: Navigating the Public Sphere

Usman Sambo
Yobe State University Damaturu, Nigeria
Email: ussambo2@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Research Problem: This abstract delves into the challenges posed by the integration of religion in state policy within multicultural societies. It highlights the tensions that arise when religious beliefs intersect with secular governance principles, and the dilemmas governments face in balancing religious freedom with the separation of religion and state.

Research Purposes: The primary purpose of this abstract is to explore the complexities surrounding the incorporation of religion into state governance frameworks. It aims to shed light on the diverse approaches taken by governments to address these challenges and their implications for social cohesion, individual rights, and democratic governance.

Research Methods: Methodologically, this abstract draws upon case studies from various countries to examine the integration of religion in state policy. It employs a qualitative analysis approach to delve into the tensions and dilemmas faced by governments in multicultural societies when dealing with issues related to religious freedom and secular governance.

Results and Discussion: The abstract discusses the multifaceted issues surrounding the integration of religion in state policy, including the potential implications for social cohesion, individual rights, and democratic governance. It explores diverse approaches taken by governments and the role of dialogue, education, and policy-making in mitigating challenges.

Research Implications and Contributions: This abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the challenges associated with integrating religion into state policy within multicultural societies. It invites further research and discussion on this critical aspect of multiculturalism, aiming to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complex relationship between religion, governance, and societal cohesion.

Keyword : Religion, Policy, Public Sphere, Individual Rights

INTRODUCTION

Religion has long been a powerful force shaping the beliefs, values, and behaviors of individuals and communities (Kumar *et al.*, 2022). Simultaneously, government policies and decision-making processes play a pivotal role in structuring the socio-political landscape (Cartenì *et al.*, 2022). When these two forces converge, tensions often arise, underscoring the need to navigate a delicate balance (Hoyer *et al.*, 2023). This exploration dives deep into the heart of these tensions, seeking to unravel the intrigues that emerge when religious convictions intersect with public policies. It delve into the challenges faced by individuals, communities, and governments as they grapple with the preservation of religious freedoms while upholding the principles of a secular state. The study employs a multidisciplinary approach to examine this multifaceted issue from various angles, encompassing political, social, and legal perspectives. There are two focus problems that will be studied, namely:

1. How do individuals and communities navigate the challenges of balancing their religious convictions with compliance with government policies?
2. What are the implications of these tensions for governance, social cohesion, and individual

rights in contemporary society?

This research not only aims to shed light on the complexities surrounding religion and policy but also to offer valuable insights into their implications. By understanding this dynamic relationship, we can better navigate the intricate terrain where religion and policy converge, contributing to a more informed and nuanced discourse within the public sphere. In the pages that follow, we embark on a journey to explore the nuances, challenges, and opportunities that arise when religion and policy collide in contemporary society.

This study employs a qualitative research approach to comprehensively explore the multifaceted relationship between religion and policy in the public sphere (Cypress, 2015). Through an extensive literature review, in-depth interviews, content analysis, case studies, and comparative analysis, this research aims to uncover the underlying tensions, nuanced perspectives, and broader implications of this complex interplay. Ethical considerations will be paramount throughout the research process, and data from both qualitative and quantitative sources will be analyzed rigorously to synthesize findings. By employing this multifaceted research methodology, this study seeks to provide a holistic understanding of the subject, shedding light on potential solutions and contributing to informed decision-making within contemporary society.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Societal Norms, Cultural Values, and Policy Compliance

Societal norms and cultural values represent the shared beliefs, customs, traditions, and expectations that guide the behavior and interactions of individuals within a community (Elster and Gelfand, 2021). These norms and values are deeply rooted in history, tradition, and collective identity (Spears, 2021). They shape how individuals perceive their roles, responsibilities, and moral obligations within society. In many cases, religion plays a significant role in shaping these norms and values, as religious teachings often influence ethical codes and social practices. Government policies and regulations are, to a significant extent, a reflection of societal norms and cultural values (Matten and Moon, 2020). Policies are crafted to align with the prevailing ethical, moral, and cultural standards of a given society (Stivers, 2023). They are intended to establish a framework that fosters social harmony and addresses societal challenges while respecting the cultural fabric of the community. For example, policies related to marriage, family structure, education, and healthcare often reflect the prevailing cultural norms and values of a society.

However, challenges arise when individuals or communities find themselves at odds with certain policies due to conflicts between their deeply held cultural or religious values and the legal framework (Norris, 2023). Such conflicts can lead to non-compliance, resistance, or calls for policy reform. For example, issues related to religious freedom, LGBTQ+ rights, reproductive rights, and euthanasia have sparked debates and controversies in many societies where cultural values clash with evolving policy changes. Governments face the complex task of balancing the preservation of cultural values and societal norms with the need to adapt to changing circumstances and uphold individual rights. Striking this balance often involves navigating a delicate path that respects diversity, promotes inclusivity, and protects individual liberties. Government policies must evolve to accommodate changing societal values while upholding fundamental principles of justice, equality, and human rights.

In increasingly diverse and multicultural societies, cultural pluralism becomes a defining feature. This diversity necessitates policies that respect and accommodate various cultural norms and values, even when they may differ from the majority (Chin, 2021). Strategies such as multiculturalism and cultural competency training for public officials aim to ensure that government policies are sensitive to the needs and values of different cultural and religious communities (Lau and Rodgers, 2021). One area where the interplay between cultural values, societal norms, and policy compliance is particularly evident is religious accommodation. Many legal systems incorporate provisions that allow individuals and communities to practice their faith while adhering to the law. Examples include exemptions for religious attire, dietary requirements, and religious holidays. These accommodations recognize the importance of cultural and religious values while ensuring adherence to broader legal principles.

The interplay between societal norms, cultural values, and policy compliance is a complex and evolving aspect of contemporary governance (Li *et al.*, 2020). Societal norms and cultural values provide

the foundation upon which policies are built, but conflicts can arise when policy changes challenge deeply held beliefs. Effective governance requires a careful balance between preserving cultural values and accommodating diversity while upholding principles of justice and individual rights. Navigating this delicate balance is essential for fostering social cohesion and ensuring that government policies reflect the evolving landscape of cultural and societal norms.

Religious Extremism and Its Threat to Social Cohesion

Religious extremism refers to the radicalization of religious beliefs and the willingness to employ violence or other extreme measures to advance a particular religious or ideological agenda (Pfundmair *et al.*, 2022). It often involves an uncompromising interpretation of religious doctrine, intolerance towards diversity, and a rejection of secular authority (Van Der Tol, 2020). Religious extremists may seek to impose their beliefs on society at large, often through acts of terrorism or violence. Religious extremism presents a significant threat to social cohesion, which is essential for the stability and well-being of any society. Extremist ideologies can foster divisions along religious lines, undermine trust between communities, and undermine the shared values that underpin social harmony. In diverse societies, religious extremism can exacerbate tensions and contribute to intergroup conflict, leading to a breakdown in social cohesion.

Governments often struggle to address religious extremism effectively while upholding the principles of religious freedom and individual rights (Chaney and Sahoo, 2020). Balancing the need for security measures with the preservation of civil liberties is a complex task. Extremist acts can provoke a heavy-handed response from authorities, potentially infringing on the rights of innocent individuals and fueling grievances that extremists exploit. Religious extremism can impinge on individual rights, particularly freedom of expression and religion. Extremist ideologies may restrict the rights of individuals within their own communities, imposing strict codes of conduct and stifling dissent. Moreover, extremists may target individuals who hold alternative beliefs or engage in activities they perceive as contrary to their ideology, resulting in violence and persecution.

Governments worldwide have implemented legal frameworks and counterterrorism measures to combat religious extremism (Masyhar, Murtadho and Sabri, 2023). These measures aim to prevent radicalization, investigate extremist activities, and prosecute those involved in acts of terrorism. However, there is a delicate balance to be struck between security and individual rights, and some counterterrorism efforts have faced criticism for infringing on civil liberties. Religious extremism is not confined to a single region or ideology; it has a global footprint (Ahmed and Lynch, 2021). Extremist movements, such as ISIS and Al-Qaeda, have transcended borders and attracted followers from various backgrounds. The international nature of these movements poses a significant challenge to global security. Terrorist attacks and acts of violence perpetrated by extremists have the potential to destabilize regions and impact international relations.

Efforts to counter religious extremism require a multifaceted approach. While security measures are necessary, they must be complemented by strategies that address the underlying factors contributing to extremism. These may include social and economic development, education, interfaith dialogue, and community engagement (Aslan and Van Ommen, 2020). Governments, civil society organizations, religious leaders, and individuals all have roles to play in countering extremism (Sari and Rejekiningsih, 2020). Promoting interfaith dialogue and understanding is a key component of countering religious extremism. Interfaith initiatives encourage mutual respect, tolerance, and cooperation among different religious communities. These dialogues can foster a sense of shared values and common goals, reducing the appeal of extremist ideologies that thrive on division and conflict.

Religious extremism poses a significant threat to contemporary society, challenging governance structures, eroding social cohesion, and impacting individual rights (Gluckman *et al.*, 2023). To address this complex issue, it is essential for governments, civil society, and religious leaders to work collaboratively on strategies that address the root causes of extremism while upholding the principles of religious freedom, individual rights, and social harmony. A comprehensive and nuanced approach is necessary to mitigate the threat of religious extremism and build resilient, inclusive societies that can withstand their divisive influence.

Global Perspectives on Religion, Governance, and Rights

One of the defining features of global perspectives on religion and governance is the diversity of religious beliefs and practices across different regions and cultures (Poushter, Fetterolf and Tamir, 2019). From Christianity and Islam to Hinduism, Buddhism, and indigenous belief systems, the world is a tapestry of religious traditions. Each of these traditions has its own values, doctrines, and interpretations, and these diverse perspectives significantly influence the governance systems in place. Religion often plays a central role in governance in many parts of the world. Some countries have official state religions, and religious leaders may hold positions of authority within government structures (Haynes, 2020). In these contexts, religion can influence policy decisions, shape legislation, and inform public discourse. The relationship between religion and governance varies widely, from theocracy to secularism, and can have profound implications for the protection of individual rights.

While religion can be a source of strength and cohesion, it can also pose challenges to the protection of individual rights, particularly when religious beliefs clash with principles of equality and human rights (Lockwood, Nath and Caplan, 2023). Discrimination and persecution on the basis of religion can be prevalent in societies where religious intolerance is deeply rooted (Ratha, 2021). This global perspective highlights the need for governments and international bodies to address these challenges and protect religious freedom as a fundamental human right (Chaney, 2020). Religion has been a contributing factor to conflicts and disputes in various regions around the world. Competing religious narratives and claims to religious sites have fueled tensions and, in some cases, led to violence and armed conflict. Understanding the global perspective on how religion intersects with governance and individual rights is crucial for conflict resolution and peacebuilding efforts.

Secularism, which separates religion from government, is a prominent approach in many Western democracies (Fox, 2019). It emphasizes the importance of neutrality in governance, ensuring that no religious group is favored or disadvantaged (Brosché, 2023). Pluralism, on the other hand, celebrates diversity and encourages the coexistence of multiple religions and belief systems within a society (Taiba, Shabana and Moin, 2023). Both secularism and pluralism are responses to the challenge of accommodating religious diversity while safeguarding individual rights. A global perspective on religion, governance, and rights necessitates an examination of international human rights frameworks. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights and subsequent conventions emphasize the importance of religious freedom, non-discrimination, and the protection of individual rights regardless of religious affiliation (Maulana *et al.*, 2022). These global standards serve as a reference point for evaluating the compatibility of governance systems with human rights principles.

Contemporary global issues, such as the rise of religious extremism, the impact of digital technology on religious expression, and the challenges posed by religious minority rights, further complicate the relationship between religion, governance, and rights (Masood *et al.*, 2022). These issues require nuanced and adaptable approaches that take into account the evolving global landscape. The global perspectives on religion, governance, and rights are as diverse as the world's religious traditions and cultures. Understanding this complexity is essential for crafting policies and governance systems that respect the rights and beliefs of all individuals. It requires a commitment to religious freedom, equality, and pluralism while recognizing the challenges and conflicts that may arise. In an interconnected world, the global dialogue on these issues is more critical than ever, as it shapes the future of governance, human rights, and social cohesion on a global scale.

CONCLUSION

In a world marked by cultural, religious, and ideological diversity, the interplay between societal norms, cultural values, religion, governance, and individual rights stands as a complex and dynamic arena. It becomes apparent that societal norms and cultural values are deeply embedded in the fabric of governance, influencing policy decisions, and the legal framework. However, as cultural and societal norms evolve, they can clash with existing policies, sparking debates and challenges to the status quo. Navigating this delicate balance requires governments to respect cultural pluralism, uphold individual rights, and promote social cohesion.

Religious extremism poses a formidable threat to these ideals, with its radicalization often infringing on individual freedoms and tearing at the seams of social harmony. Effective countermeasures necessitate both security efforts and addressing the root causes, emphasizing education, interfaith

dialogue, and community engagement. Meanwhile, a global perspective on religion, governance, and rights underscores the diversity of beliefs, governance systems, and challenges worldwide. It highlights the importance of safeguarding religious freedom and protecting individual rights while navigating the complexities of governance in a multicultural world. By recognizing this complexity and fostering a global dialogue, societies can work towards inclusive governance systems that respect cultural diversity, uphold human rights, and promote social cohesion on a global scale.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ahmed, Y. and Lynch, O. (2021) "Terrorism studies and the far right–the state of play," *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*. Taylor & Francis, pp. 1–21.

Aslan, M. and Van Ommen, M. (2020) "Advancing Diversity Through Global Citizenship Education and Interfaith Dialogue," *The Palgrave Handbook of Citizenship and Education*. Springer, pp. 693–704.

Brosché, J. (2023) "Conflict over the Commons: Government bias and communal conflicts in Darfur and Eastern Sudan," *Ethnopolitics*. Taylor & Francis, 22(2), pp. 199–221.

Cartenì, A. *et al.* (2022) "A cognitive and participative decision-making model for transportation planning under different uncertainty levels," *Transport Policy*. Elsevier, 116, pp. 386–398.

Chaney, P. (2020) "India at the crossroads? Civil society, human rights and religious freedom: Critical analysis of CSOs' third cycle universal periodic review discourse 2012–2017," *The International Journal of Human Rights*. Taylor & Francis, 24(5), pp. 531–562.

Chaney, P. and Sahoo, S. (2020) "Civil society and the contemporary threat to religious freedom in Bangladesh," *Journal of Civil Society*. Taylor & Francis, 16(3), pp. 191–215.

Chin, C. (2021) "Multiculturalism and nationalism: Models of belonging to diverse political community," *Nations and Nationalism*. Wiley Online Library, 27(1), pp. 112–129.

Cypress, B. S. (2015) "Qualitative research: the 'what,'"why,'"who,' and 'how'!," *Dimensions of Critical Care Nursing*. LWW, 34(6), pp. 356–361.

Elster, A. and Gelfand, M. J. (2021) "When guiding principles do not guide: The moderating effects of cultural tightness on value-behavior links," *Journal of Personality*. Wiley Online Library, 89(2), pp. 325–337.

Fox, J. (2019) "How Secular Are Western Governments' Religion Policies?," *Secular Studies*. Brill, 1(1), pp. 3–33.

Gluckman, P. *et al.* (2023) "Addressing the challenges to social cohesion."

Haynes, J. (2020) *Politics of religion: A survey*. Routledge.

Hoyer, D. *et al.* (2023) "Navigating Polycrisis: long-run socio-cultural factors shape response to changing climate." SocArXiv.

Kumar, S. *et al.* (2022) "Religion as a social shaping force in entrepreneurship and business: Insights from a technology-empowered systematic literature review," *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. Elsevier, 175, p. 121393.

Lau, L. S. and Rodgers, G. (2021) "Cultural competence in refugee service settings: a scoping review," *Health Equity*. Mary Ann Liebert, Inc., publishers 140 Huguenot Street, 3rd Floor New …, 5(1), pp. 124–134.

Li, J. *et al.* (2020) "Exploring the relationship between corporate social responsibility and firm competitiveness," *Economic research-Ekonomska istraživanja*. Taylor and Francis Group i Sveučilište Jurja Dobrile u Puli, Fakultet …, 33(1), pp. 1621–1646.

Lockwood, G., Nath, V. and Caplan, S. (2023) "Religion and Belief Discrimination at Work: Legal Challenges in the UK," *Athens JL*. HeinOnline, 9, p. 421.

Masood, M. *et al.* (2022) "Trust in religious others: A three-way interaction model of religious bias, informational use of digital media, and education," *International Journal of Communication*, 16, p. 20.

Masyhar, A., Murtadho, A. and Sabri, A. Z. S. A. (2023) "The Driving Factors for Recidivism of Former Terrorism Convicts in Socio-Legal Perspective," *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies*, 8(1).

Matten, D. and Moon, J. (2020) "Reflections on the 2018 decade award: The meaning and dynamics of

corporate social responsibility," *Academy of management Review*. Academy of Management Briarcliff Manor, NY, 45(1), pp. 7–28.

Maulana, E. D. *et al.* (2022) "Protection of Uighur Muslim in Human Rights Aspect in International Law Perspective," *Journal of Legal Subjects (JLS) ISSN 2815-097X*, 2(04), pp. 12–20.

Norris, P. (2023) "Cancel culture: Myth or reality?," *Political studies*. SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England, 71(1), pp. 145–174.

Pfundmair, M. *et al.* (2022) "How social exclusion makes radicalism flourish: A review of empirical evidence," *Journal of Social Issues*. Wiley Online Library.

Poushter, J., Fetterolf, J. and Tamir, C. (2019) "A changing world: Global views on diversity, gender equality, family life and the importance of religion," *Pew Research Center*, 44.

Ratha, K. C. (2021) "Interpreting Citizenship Amendment Act: Its Content and Context," *Indian Journal of Public Administration*. SAGE Publications Sage India: New Delhi, India, 67(4), pp. 559–572.

Sari, A. F. and Rejekiningsih, T. (2020) "Increasing the Society's Engagement Role Through Interfaith Dialogue," in *3rd International Conference on Learning Innovation and Quality Education (ICLIQE 2019)*. Atlantis Press, pp. 1104–1110.

Spears, R. (2021) "Social influence and group identity," *Annual review of psychology*. Annual Reviews, 72, pp. 367–390.

Stivers, R. (2023) *The culture of cynicism: American morality in decline*. Wipf and Stock Publishers.

Taiba, S., Shabana, R. and Moin, Z. (2023) "Pluralism, Tolerance, and Islamic Philosophy: Implications for Global Peace and Conflict Resolution," *Harf-o-Sukhan*, 7(2), pp. 1–11.

Van Der Tol, M. (2020) "Politics of religious diversity: Toleration, religious freedom and visibility of religion in public space." University of Cambridge UK.