



Challenges of Multiculturalism: Integration of Religion in State Policy

Neng Nur Annisa
UIN Sunan Gunung Djati, Indonesia
Email: annisa.nengnur93@gmail.com

Nabila Tabassum
Riphah International University, Islamabad, Pakistan
Email: nabilatabassum123@gmail.com

Received: 07 September, 2023. Accepted: 11 October, 2023. Published: 13 October, 2023

ABSTRACT

Research Problem: This abstract addresses the intricate challenges posed by the integration of religion in state policy within multicultural societies. It focuses on the tensions that arise when religious beliefs intersect with the principles of secular governance, highlighting the dilemmas faced by governments in striking a balance between respecting religious freedom and upholding the separation of religion and state.

Research Purposes: The primary purpose of this abstract is to examine the complexities surrounding the incorporation of religion into the framework of state governance. It aims to shed light on the diverse approaches taken by governments to address these challenges and the potential implications for social cohesion, individual rights, and democratic governance.

Research Methods: Methodologically, this abstract draws upon case studies from various countries to explore the integration of religion in state policy. It employs a qualitative analysis approach to delve into the tensions and dilemmas faced by governments in multicultural societies when dealing with issues related to religious freedom and secular governance.

Results and Discussion: The abstract discusses the multifaceted issues surrounding the integration of religion in state policy, highlighting the diverse approaches taken by governments to address these challenges. It examines the potential implications for social cohesion, individual rights, and democratic governance, while also exploring the role of dialogue, education, and policy-making in mitigating these challenges.

Research Implications and Contributions: This abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the challenges posed by the integration of religion in state policy within multicultural societies. It invites further research and discussion on this critical aspect of multiculturalism, aiming to contribute to a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding the relationship between religion, governance, and societal cohesion.

Keywords: Multiculturalism, Religion, State policy, Governance, Dialogue

INTRODUCTION

The harmonious coexistence of diverse cultural and religious communities within a single nation has become an increasingly prevalent hallmark of contemporary societies (Hossain, 2023). This ethos of multiculturalism, rooted in the principles of tolerance, inclusivity, and respect for diversity, seeks to celebrate and embrace the richness of human culture (Dube, 2022). While multiculturalism has been championed as a means to foster social cohesion and individual liberty, it is not without its complexities and challenges. One of the most intricate and contentious issues arising within the context of multiculturalism is the integration of religion into state policy.

* Copyright (c) 2023 Neng Nur Annisa and Nabila Tabassum

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

This paper delves into the multifaceted challenges posed by the integration of religion in state policy, a matter that lies at the heart of the delicate balance between cultural pluralism and the secular governance of nations. Multicultural societies, by their very nature, comprise citizens with a wide array of religious beliefs and practices, each of which holds a unique place in the hearts and lives of individuals. As governments grapple with the task of accommodating this religious diversity, they face a myriad of questions: How can religious freedom be upheld while maintaining the separation of religions and state? What policies and approaches can be adopted to ensure that the rights of religious minorities are respected within the framework of a secular government? How can governments navigate the potential tensions between religious doctrines and the fundamental values and laws of the state?

To shed light on these pressing questions, this paper will explore case studies from various countries, each grappling with the integration of religion in state policy in its own way (Shaheen, Mayhew and Staples, 2021). By examining the diverse approaches and experiences of these nations, we aim to provide insights into the broader challenges and opportunities that arise when multiculturalism meets matters of faith and governance. Additionally, this paper will delve into the pivotal roles of dialogue, education, and public policy in mitigating the challenges and promoting a harmonious multicultural society where both religious diversity and the core values of the state can thrive. As we embark on this exploration of the challenges posed by the integration of religion in state policy within multicultural contexts, it is essential to recognize that the path forward is neither straightforward nor uniform (Johansson, 2022). Instead, it is a nuanced journey filled with complexities, trade-offs, and evolving paradigms. Nevertheless, by engaging in thoughtful analysis and dialogue, societies can navigate these challenges and forge a future that respects the cultural and religious tapestry of their citizens while upholding the principles that underpin their governance.

This paper brings a fresh perspective to the challenges of multiculturalism by specifically focusing on the integration of religion in state policy. While there is a substantial body of literature on multiculturalism and religious freedom, this paper seeks to explore the intricate intersection of these two concepts in greater depth. By examining real-world case studies and considering the evolving dynamics of religious diversity, governance, and societal values, it aims to offer new insights and a more nuanced understanding of the complexities involved. Additionally, it emphasizes the importance of dialogue, education, and innovative policy-making as potential solutions to address these challenges in an ever-changing global landscape. This nuanced exploration of the integration of religion in state policy within the context of multiculturalism contributes to the ongoing discourse on religious freedom, cultural pluralism, and the governance of diverse societies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

How can religious freedom be upheld while maintaining the separation of religions and state?

Upholding religious freedom while maintaining the separation of religions and state is a complex and multifaceted endeavor that lies at the heart of democratic governance and individual liberties (Nagarwal, 2023). This discussion explores various strategies and considerations for achieving the delicate balance between religious freedom and secular governance, offering insights into the challenges, solutions, and the broader implications for diverse societies. A strong legal framework is the cornerstone of safeguarding religious freedom while upholding the separation of religions and state (Chaney and Sahoo, 2020). Constitutions and human rights laws serve as the bedrock upon which these principles are built, ensuring that individuals have the right to practice their religion freely without interference from the state (Srivastava, 2022). At the same time, these legal instruments establish the principle that the government must remain impartial and secular in its actions and policies, refraining from endorsing or favoring any particular religion. This legal foundation sets the stage for a society where religious freedom is protected, and the state maintains its neutrality.

Secular governance is a fundamental aspect of maintaining the separation of religions and state (Engvall, 2020). In a secular system, government institutions operate independently from religious authorities, and policy decisions are made based on rationality, evidence, and the common good rather than religious doctrine. This ensures that religious institutions do not exert undue influence on state affairs, and government decisions are not guided by religious dogma. By adhering to secular governance, nations can create a level playing field where all citizens, regardless of their religious beliefs or affiliations,

are treated equally under the law. Central to the concept of maintaining the separation of religions and state is the idea of religious neutrality (Modood and Sealy, 2021). Governments should not take sides in religious matters, and they should treat all religious groups and beliefs with impartiality. This means refraining from endorsing or promoting any particular religion and avoiding discrimination against minority religions or non-religious worldviews. Policies, public services, and funding should be administered without bias, ensuring that religious groups are neither advantaged nor disadvantaged by government actions.

Respecting freedom of conscience is another essential element of upholding religious freedom (Raza, 2020). This principle acknowledges that individuals have the right to follow their conscience, whether it leads them to a specific faith or to secular values. In a society that values freedom of conscience, individuals are free to explore, question, and choose their belief systems without fear of persecution or discrimination. This not only strengthens religious freedom but also fosters a culture of respect for diverse perspectives. Education and awareness play pivotal roles in promoting tolerance and understanding in multicultural societies (Rachmadtullah, Syofyan and Rasmitadila, 2020). By teaching citizens about different religions and secularism, societies can combat ignorance and stereotypes, fostering empathy and respect for diverse beliefs. Education also includes informing individuals about their rights to religious freedom and the importance of a secular government. Informed citizens are better equipped to participate in democratic processes and advocate for policies that uphold these principles.

Open dialogue and inclusivity are key tools for bridging gaps and addressing potential conflicts in matters of religion and state (Gawerc, 2020). Encouraging discussions between religious and non-religious groups, as well as between government representatives and community members, can lead to more balanced and culturally sensitive decision-making. Inclusivity initiatives that involve diverse voices in policy discussions help ensure that the perspectives of all stakeholders are considered, leading to more equitable outcomes. To maintain the separation of religions and state, it's crucial to establish clear boundaries between religious institutions and the government (Burity, 2020). This involves avoiding the allocation of taxpayer funds to religious activities and preventing religious institutions from unduly influencing government decisions. By maintaining a clear line of demarcation between the two spheres, a society can uphold both religious freedom and the secular nature of the state.

Legal dispute resolution mechanisms are vital for ensuring that religious freedom is upheld consistently and fairly (Mayrl and Venny, 2021). Nations must establish fair and impartial processes for resolving legal disputes related to religious freedom, treating such cases with the same scrutiny as other legal matters. This ensures that individuals and religious organizations have access to justice and that their rights are protected. International human rights standards and conventions provide valuable guidelines and accountability mechanisms for nations striving to maintain the balance between religious freedom and the separation of religions and state (Salama and Wiener, 2022). These international agreements underscore the importance of upholding religious freedom as a fundamental human right while emphasizing the principle of state neutrality in religious matters. Nations that subscribe to these standards commit to providing a legal framework that respects individual religious freedom and maintains a secular government.

Public engagement and feedback are essential components of shaping policies that respect both religious freedom and the separation of religions and state (Hefner, 2020). Governments should actively seek input from a diverse range of stakeholders, including religious leaders, representatives, and community members, to ensure that policies are inclusive and fair. By involving the public in the decision-making process, governments can build consensus and avoid potential conflicts. Balancing religious freedom with the separation of religions and state is an ongoing challenge that requires a steadfast commitment to principles of equality, secularism, and individual rights. Effective governance and clear legal frameworks are essential to provide the necessary safeguards to protect religious freedom while upholding the fundamental principle of the separation of religions and state (Ziebertz, 2023). In an ever-evolving global landscape marked by cultural and religious diversity, societies must adapt and refine their approaches to strike the delicate and dynamic balance between these two essential pillars of democratic governance and individual liberty.

Safeguarding Religious Minority Rights in a Secular Governance Framework

The protection of religious minority rights within a secular governance framework is a fundamental challenge faced by many nations around the world (Johansson, 2022). While secularism ensures the separation of religion and government, it must also guarantee that religious minorities enjoy equal rights, freedom of belief, and protection from discrimination. This discussion delves into the policies, strategies, and principles that can be employed to safeguard the rights of religious minorities within a secular governance framework. By exploring legal protections, inclusive policies, interfaith dialogue, and other key considerations, this discourse aims to shed light on the complex interplay between secularism and religious diversity.

One of the cornerstones of safeguarding religious minority rights in a secular government is the establishment and enforcement of robust legal protections (Duyvendak and Kesic, 2022). Legal frameworks must be comprehensive, explicitly prohibiting discrimination on the basis of religion and protecting the rights of religious minorities. These protections extend to various aspects of life, including employment, education, housing, and public services. Within such legal frameworks, religious minorities should be granted the freedom to practice their faith without fear of discrimination or persecution. In practice, this means ensuring that individuals are not disadvantaged or treated unfairly due to their religious beliefs. Additionally, legal provisions should establish mechanisms for addressing cases of religious discrimination and hate crimes, thereby offering recourse to victims and holding perpetrators accountable.

To solidify the protection of religious minority rights, many nations have adopted religious freedom acts or have incorporated these principles into their constitutions. Such acts and constitutional amendments serve to enshrine religious freedom as a fundamental right, ensuring that the government cannot unduly interfere with the practice of any religion. These legal instruments emphasize the importance of state neutrality in religious matters, preventing the government from favoring or endorsing any specific religion (Breskaya, Giordan and Zrinščak, 2021). They underscore the principle that religious minorities, regardless of their faith, are entitled to the same rights and protections as the religious majority.

A crucial aspect of safeguarding religious minority rights is promoting diverse representation within government and public institutions (Mehfooz, 2021). Encouraging members of religious minority communities to participate in politics, public service, and decision-making processes ensures that their voices are heard and their concerns are addressed. By having diverse representatives, governments can better understand the unique challenges faced by religious minorities and craft policies that reflect the needs and aspirations of these communities. Inclusivity in governance helps create a more equitable and responsive system that respects the rights of all citizens, regardless of their religious background.

Education plays a pivotal role in fostering tolerance and understanding in multicultural societies. Inclusive education policies should teach students about different religions and worldviews, promoting respect and empathy for diverse beliefs. These policies help reduce prejudice and ensure that young people grow up with a broader understanding of religious diversity. Furthermore, cultural sensitivity training for law enforcement, public servants, and educators is essential. It raises awareness about the unique needs and challenges faced by religious minority communities. This training can help reduce incidents of discrimination and ensure that public institutions treat all citizens with fairness and respect, regardless of their religious affiliation.

Respecting religious minority rights also involves accommodating their religious practices when reasonable. Policies should be established to allow for religious accommodations in areas such as dress code, religious holidays, and dietary requirements. These accommodations ensure that religious minorities can practice their faith without encountering undue hardship. Additionally, governments should have clear guidelines regarding the display of religious symbols in public spaces (Beaman, 2020). The goal is to maintain a neutral and inclusive environment, avoiding the privileging of one religion over others. Striking the right balance between recognizing cultural and religious diversity and maintaining secularism in public spaces is a delicate but crucial task.

Promoting interfaith dialogue and cooperation between religious communities is a proactive approach to safeguarding religious minority rights (Rofiqi and Haq, 2022). Government-sponsored programs and initiatives can facilitate constructive discussions among different religious groups,

fostering mutual understanding and peaceful coexistence. Interfaith dialogue not only helps bridge gaps between religious communities but also strengthens the social fabric of a nation. By emphasizing shared values and common goals, it promotes unity and harmony among diverse religious groups, ultimately contributing to the protection of religious minority rights. In many societies, religious minorities are vulnerable to hate crimes and discrimination. To combat this, governments should enact hate crime legislation that specifically addresses offenses targeting religious minorities. Such laws should be vigorously enforced, and perpetrators should be held accountable for their actions. In addition to legal protections, providing legal aid and support services to religious minorities facing discrimination or persecution is crucial. These services ensure that individuals and religious organizations have access to resources to defend their rights in court. Legal aid helps level the playing field, allowing religious minorities to seek justice and protection under the law.

Establishing independent commissions or ombudsman offices responsible for monitoring and addressing issues related to religious freedom is another proactive step. These bodies serve as a bridge between religious minority communities and the government, providing an avenue for redress and investigation when religious minority rights are violated. These commissions can also play a role in educating the public and policymakers about religious minority issues, raising awareness and promoting a culture of respect for diversity. Nations committed to safeguarding religious minority rights should ratify and adhere to international human rights agreements that protect these rights (Kumar, 2022). International standards provide valuable guidelines and mechanisms for holding governments accountable for their treatment of religious minorities. By subscribing to these agreements, nations demonstrate their commitment to upholding the rights and freedoms of religious minorities, both domestically and on the global stage. Implementing recommendations from international bodies can help improve the situation of religious minorities within a country.

Government-led initiatives for community outreach and engagement with religious minority groups are essential for building trust and addressing specific concerns within these communities. These initiatives can include town hall meetings, cultural exchange programs, and partnerships with religious leaders. By actively involving religious minority communities in the decision-making process and seeking their input on policies that affect them, governments can ensure that their rights and interests are considered and respected. Transparency is key to safeguarding religious minority rights. Establishing a system for regular monitoring and reporting on the status of these rights, including incidents of discrimination and violence, is essential (Tripura *et al.*, 2023). Such reporting mechanisms ensure that governments are held accountable for their actions and can help identify areas where further intervention is needed. These reports should be made available to the public, civil society organizations, and international bodies, allowing for external scrutiny and advocacy on behalf of religious minorities.

Safeguarding religious minority rights in a secular governance framework is a multifaceted endeavor that requires a combination of legal protections, inclusive policies, interfaith dialogue, and proactive government initiatives. It is a delicate balancing act that seeks to ensure that religious minorities enjoy equal rights and protection from discrimination while maintaining the secular nature of the state. By adopting these policies and approaches, governments can create an inclusive and equitable environment where the rights of religious minorities are respected and protected. In doing so, they contribute to the creation of harmonious and diverse societies that uphold the principles of religious freedom and secular governance, ultimately enriching the social fabric of their nations.

Navigating Tensions Between Religious Doctrines and State Laws: Government Strategies and Challenges

Governments across the globe encounter intricate challenges when grappling with potential conflicts between religious doctrines and the core values and laws of the state (Haynes, 2020). These tensions often arise in secular nations, where the separation of religion and government is a fundamental principle. Effectively addressing these tensions requires a delicate balance between safeguarding religious freedom, protecting the rule of law, and ensuring social cohesion. Governments employ various strategies to manage these challenges (Neo, 2019). Clear legal frameworks are established to define the boundaries of religious freedom while setting limits on practices that may clash with state laws. Dialogue and mediation between religious leaders and government officials are encouraged to identify common ground and potential compromises. Public education campaigns aim to foster understanding and

awareness of religious freedom and the rule of law. In some cases, reasonable religious accommodations are considered, and conflicts are evaluated on a case-by-case basis, often involving the judiciary. Legislative amendments may be necessary when conflicts persist, requiring careful consideration and public input.

However, navigating these tensions is not without its difficulties. Religious doctrines are subject to diverse interpretations, making it challenging for governments to remain impartial and avoid favoritism (Conrad, 2020). Secular governments must walk a fine line, preserving religious freedom while upholding the secular framework, preventing religious doctrines from unduly influencing governmental decisions. Public opinion and political pressures can complicate matters, as policymakers may encounter mixed reactions depending on the issue at hand. Compliance with international human rights standards is essential, but it must be balanced with upholding national values. Complex religious practices and evolving societal dynamics further add to the complexity, making adaptation and careful assessment of their impact on public order and individual rights necessary.

Managing tensions between religious doctrines and state laws is an intricate and ongoing challenge. Governments must strive to maintain a delicate equilibrium, ensuring that both religious freedom and the rule of law are upheld (Omelchuk *et al.*, 2021). While various strategies can be employed, the complexities of religious beliefs and practices, coupled with the challenges posed by public opinion and international standards, make this a complex task. Nonetheless, through a commitment to fairness, equity, and the protection of core principles, governments can work towards solutions that respect religious diversity while upholding the rule of law, ultimately fostering inclusive and harmonious societies.

CONCLUSION

Upholding religious freedom while maintaining the separation of religions and state is a complex and multifaceted challenge that lies at the heart of democratic governance and individual liberties. It requires a careful balance between protecting religious freedom and ensuring the secular nature of government. In this discussion, we have explored various strategies and considerations for achieving this delicate balance. A strong legal framework is essential, as constitutions and human rights laws provide the foundation for safeguarding religious freedom while mandating state neutrality in religious matters. Secular governance, which emphasizes the independence of government institutions from religious authorities, is fundamental in maintaining the separation of religions and state.

Religious neutrality is a core principle, necessitating that governments treat all religious groups and beliefs impartially, avoiding any form of endorsement or discrimination. Respecting freedom of conscience allows individuals to explore their beliefs freely, fostering a culture of respect for diverse perspectives. Education and awareness play vital roles in promoting tolerance and understanding, combating stereotypes, and ensuring that citizens are informed about their rights and the importance of secular government. Open dialogue, inclusivity, and public engagement facilitate balanced decision-making and avoid potential conflicts. Clear boundaries between religious institutions and government are crucial, preventing undue influence and ensuring a level playing field. Legal dispute resolution mechanisms, adherence to international human rights standards, and regular monitoring and reporting further contribute to upholding religious freedom and the separation of religions and state.

Balancing religious freedom with the secular nature of government is an ongoing challenge, requiring steadfast commitment to principles of equality, secularism, and individual rights. Effective governance, clear legal frameworks, and public engagement are essential in providing the necessary safeguards to protect religious freedom while upholding the fundamental principle of the separation of religions and state. In a world marked by cultural and religious diversity, societies must adapt and refine their approaches to strike a dynamic balance between these two essential pillars of democratic governance and individual liberty.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

-

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Beaman, L. (2020) *The transition of religion to culture in law and public discourse*. Routledge.
- Breskaya, O., Giordan, G. and Zrinscak, S. (2021) "Social perception of religious freedom: Testing the impact of secularism and state-religion relations," *Social compass*. SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England, 68(3), pp. 282–300.
- Burity, J. (2020) "Conservative wave, religion and the secular state in post-impeachment Brazil," *International Journal of Latin American Religions*. Springer, 4(1), pp. 83–107.
- Chaney, P. and Sahoo, S. (2020) "Civil society and the contemporary threat to religious freedom in Bangladesh," *Journal of Civil Society*. Taylor & Francis, 16(3), pp. 191–215.
- Conrad, J. (2020) "Navigating identity as a controversial issue: One teacher's disclosure for critical empathic reasoning," *Theory & Research in Social Education*. Taylor & Francis, 48(2), pp. 211–243.
- Dube, F. (2022) "The ethos of tolerance of diversity in post-apartheid jurisprudence," *Obiter*. Nelson Mandela University, 43(1), pp. 124–141.
- Duyvendak, J. W. and Kesic, J. (2022) *The Return of the Native: Can Liberalism Safeguard Us Against Nativism?* Oxford University Press.
- Engvall, J. (2020) "Religion and the secular state in Kyrgyzstan," *Central Asia-Caucasus Institute & Silk Road Studies Program, Silk Road Paper, Printed in Lithuania*, https://www.silkroadstudies.org/resources/Religion_and_the_Secular_State_in_Kyrgyzstan_-_Johan_Engvall_-_10, 6.
- Gawerc, M. I. (2020) "Diverse social movement coalitions: Prospects and challenges," *Sociology Compass*. Wiley Online Library, 14(1), p. e12760.
- Haynes, J. (2020) *Politics of religion: A survey*. Routledge.
- Hefner, R. W. (2020) "Islam and covenantal pluralism in Indonesia: A critical juncture analysis," *The Review of Faith & International Affairs*. Taylor & Francis, 18(2), pp. 1–17.
- Hossain, M. I. (2023) "Global Citizens, Civic Responsibility, and Intercultural Communication in a Rapidly Globalising Multicultural World: Community Revitalisation and Reflective Practise," *Ethnopolitics*. Taylor & Francis, pp. 1–28.
- Johansson, T. R. (2022) "In defence of multiculturalism—theoretical challenges," *International Review of Sociology*. Taylor & Francis, pp. 1–15.
- Kumar, D. (2022) "Human Rights Importance in International Affairs," *Issue 1 Int'l JLL Mgmt. & Human*. HeinOnline, 5, p. 126.
- Mayrl, D. and Venny, D. (2021) "The dejudicialization of religious freedom?," *Social Compass*. SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England, 68(3), pp. 342–358.
- Mehfooz, M. (2021) "Religious freedom in Pakistan: A case study of religious minorities," *Religions*. MDPI, 12(1), p. 51.
- Modood, T. and Sealy, T. (2021) "Freedom of religion and the accommodation of religious diversity: Multiculturalising secularism," *Religions*. MDPI, 12(10), p. 868.
- Nagarwal, N. (2023) "Secularism and Secularisation of the State: Decoding Gandhian Philosophy in Contemporary India," in *Relevance of Duties in the Contemporary World: With Special Emphasis on Gandhian Thought*. Springer, pp. 227–242.
- Neo, J. L. (2019) "Dimensions of religious harmony as constitutional practice: Beyond state control," *German Law Journal*. Cambridge University Press, 20(7), pp. 966–985.
- Omelchuk, O. M. et al. (2021) "Protection of human rights in the context of the development of the rule of law principle: The international aspect," *Journal of the National Academy of Legal Sciences of Ukraine*, 28(1), pp. 32–42.
- Rachmadtullah, R., Syofyan, H. and Rasmitadila, R. (2020) "The role of civic education teachers in implementing multicultural education in elementary school students," *Universal Journal of Educational Research*.
- Raza, F. (2020) "Limitations to the right to religious freedom: rethinking key approaches," *Oxford Journal of Law and Religion*. Oxford University Press, 9(3), pp. 435–462.
- Rofiqi, M. A. and Haq, M. Z. (2022) "Islamic approaches in multicultural and interfaith dialogue," *Integritas Terbuka: Peace and Interfaith Studies*, 1(1), pp. 47–58.
- Salama, I. and Wiener, M. (2022) *Reconciling Religion and Human Rights: Faith in Multilateralism*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Shaheen, M., Mayhew, M. J. and Staples, B. A. (2021) "StateChurch: Bringing religion to public higher

- education,” *Religions*. MDPI, 12(5), p. 336.
- Srivastava, V. (2022) “Constitutional Protection of Religious Practice vis a vis Reformatory Secularism: Analytical Study,” *Issue 4 Indian JL & Legal Rsch.* HeinOnline, 4, p. 1.
- Tripura, B. *et al.* (2023) “Constitutional Rights And Social Justice In India: An Analysis,” *Journal of Namibian Studies: History Politics Culture*, 35, pp. 3128–3158.
- Ziebertz, H.-G. (2023) “Religious Freedom and the Relationship Between State and Religion,” in *Human Rights and the Separation of State and Religion: International Case Studies*. Springer, pp. 11–31.