Understanding the Interplay of Religion and Social Policy: Challenges and Opportunities

Mohamad Ichsana Nur
University of Manchester, United Kingdom
Email: mohamadichsana.nur@postgrad.manchester.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

Research Problem: This research explores the complex dynamics between religion and social policy, highlighting the challenges and opportunities that arise from these interactions. The focus is on the influence of religious meaning systems at the individual, group, community and societal levels.

Research purposes: The aim of this research is to discover how wise policy implementation can optimize the positive role of religion in prevention, healing, group empowerment, and increasing community capacity, as well as to identify critical challenges that may be faced in the process.

Research methods: This research uses a qualitative approach with in-depth research methods that include positive and negative aspects of the influence of religion. Collaboration between policy makers, psychologists, and religious institutions is considered important in overcoming the identified barriers.

Results and Discussion: The research results show that wise policy implementation can optimize the role of religion in various aspects of people's lives. However, challenges such as the constitutional separation between religious institutions and the state, incompatibilities in systems of meaning, and the complexity of religious beliefs are also identified.

Research Implications and Contributions: This research provides better insight into how policy can be an effective tool in strengthening societal welfare by wisely integrating religious values. The findings of this research build a bridge between the complex interactions of religion and policy, while highlighting the potential for constructive collaboration that can have a positive impact on society at large.

Keywords: Religion, Public Policy, Qualitative Research, Ethical Implications, Policy Discourse

INTRODUCTION

In the context of public policy, the relationship between religion and decision making is a complex and nuanced area (Birkland, 2019). In an era of contemporary challenges, such as the polarization of society and the adoption of policies that often involve religious values, the need for a deep understanding of the link between religion and public policy has become increasingly urgent (McDougal, 2013). The use of qualitative research methods, including in-depth interviews with stakeholders and analysis of literature, provides a solid foundation for understanding how religious values, doctrines and practices can have a significant impact on the policy formation process.

In facing the reality of contemporary challenges, this research aims to fill the knowledge gap by investigating the concrete impact of religious values on public policy. Social polarity and differences of opinion regarding policy often reflect the complexity of the relationship between religion and policy (Castles, 2019). By deeply understanding these interactions, this research aims to provide further insight into how religious values play a key role in the policy formation process in diverse societies.

A holistic approach and qualitative research methods are the main innovations in this research (Denzin & Lincoln, 1994). By combining in-depth literature analysis with field research through in-depth interviews, this research seeks to go beyond theoretical frameworks and apply empirical findings in real public policy contexts. This approach provides an additional dimension to the research, making it more

contextual and relevant to the complex realities of public policy.

The main aim of this research is to bring a new breakthrough in understanding the complexity of the relationship between religion and public policy where there is still not enough research at this time (Blomkamp, 2018; Hankivsky & Cormier, 2019; Schlager, 2019). By exploring religious values and their impact on policy, this research contributes to academic and practical discourse, providing a strong basis for deeper ethical and moral discussions in the context of policy decision making. Through this approach, it is hoped that this research can make a positive contribution to the way we understand and respond to the role of religion in the public policy process, paving the way for the development of more inclusive and just policies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Religious Significance Systems: Policy Considerations in the 21st Century

Religion, functioning as a meaning system, demonstrates promising potential for influencing social policy across various levels of analysis (Jones et al., 2023). At the individual level, it plays a pivotal role in enhancing well-being through prevention and healing pathways. Religion contributes to prevention by providing protective factors such as meaning in life, coping skills, and social support systems, bolstering resilience in the face of life stressors (Wong et al., 2018). Additionally, normative prescriptions within religious doctrines guide individuals and communities toward lifestyle choices and goals that directly contribute to health and well-being. The healing aspect involves intrapsychological transformations and various forms of support from religious communities, contributing to positive health outcomes and psychological well-being.

However, alongside these positive influences, religion as a meaning system can also have negative ramifications. Beliefs, motivations, emotions, and behaviors influenced by religion may be in problematic directions, leading to negative psychological outcomes and fostering prejudice (Kite et al., 2022). The infamous events of September 11, 2001, exemplify the potential for individuals with certain religious views to perpetrate acts of violence against others. Zooming out to the group level, religion's meaning system can significantly enhance the resilience and empowerment of diverse social groups, particularly those facing oppression and discrimination (Arat et al., 2023). The historical resilience of African Americans, attributed in part to the faith provided by religion and the support of the Black Church, exemplifies religion's role in fostering group cohesion and empowerment (Mueller, 2023). Religion also serves as a resource for social groups lacking power, contributing to shared resources, social networks, and social action.

However, religion, both as an institution and meaning system, can act as a barrier to group empowerment. Certain religious beliefs may lead social groups to withdraw from larger society, focusing on individual salvation with minimal emphasis on changing oppressive social conditions. Moreover, historical instances reveal how certain religious ideologies and structures have oppressed rather than empowered social groups, such as women, gays and lesbians, and ethnic minorities. At the community level, religion has the potential to contribute to community capacity building, enhancing the effectiveness of key community institutions (Saja et al., 2018). Faith-based organizations, driven by the meaning system provided by religion, mobilize volunteers, provide social service programs, and offer access to hard-to-reach populations. Despite these positive contributions, religious institutions and practices can also contribute to community problems, fostering distrust, prejudice, and intergroup discord.

On the cultural/societal level, religion's values challenge excessive focus on materialism and self-absorption (Hannush & Hannush, 2021). Religion's meaning systems have the potential to promote empowerment and a sense of common cause, transcending groups and societal boundaries. However, historical instances reveal that religion and religious beliefs have often led to systematic oppression, conflict, and war (Adams et al., 2022). The overarching question remains whether the potential positive benefits of linkages between policy and religion outweigh the potential negatives. Despite this concern, there are intrinsic challenges to effective work at the intersection of religion, social policy, and psychology, including navigating the delicate balance between positive and negative influences of religion, ensuring inclusiveness and social justice in policies informed by religious values, understanding power dynamics within religious institutions, and addressing the cultural complexities of promoting universal principles while respecting diversity.

Faith, Social Policies, and Psychological Dimensions: Navigating Complexities

Several challenges impede the development of social policies seeking to leverage religion as a resource, along with psychology's constructive involvement in this process. One significant hurdle is the constitutional separation of church and state, explicitly outlined in the First Amendment. This separation aims, in part, to prevent government endorsement or discouragement of specific religious activities or beliefs. Policies cannot be designed with the explicit goal of promoting religion. Court decisions, such as Nistor (2019) and Bartkowski & Grettenberger (2018), have set crucial tests for government funding of social services by faith-based organizations, ensuring services are not limited to a particular religious affiliation, remain non-religious in character, avoid substantial risk of religious indoctrination, prevent religious institutions from being the sole beneficiaries, and ensure any incidental advancement of religion is minimal. Proposals like public funding for vouchers and President Bush's faith-based social services initiative have faced criticism for potentially breaching the constitutional separation of church and state (Chemerinsky & McDonald, 2018). Critics from both ends of the political spectrum raise concerns about religious discrimination, proselytizing, and the impact on the religious integrity of faith-based groups. Despite these concerns, numerous faith-based organizations have received government funding and collaborated on social issues, emphasizing the need for psychologists working at the religion-policy interface to navigate the complexities of the separation of church and state.

Meaning System Discrepancies

Another major challenge lies in the significant differences in meaning systems between religion, policy, and the culture of psychology. The intricate interplay of these distinct systems poses difficulties in developing policies that effectively incorporate religious values without infringing on the principles of church-state separation. Understanding and navigating these disparities is essential for psychologists aiming to contribute meaningfully to the intersection of religion and social policy.

Diversity and Complexity of Religion

The immense diversity and complexity within religious beliefs and practices present an additional challenge. Crafting policies that accommodate this diversity while avoiding favoritism or discrimination requires a nuanced understanding of various religious perspectives. Psychologists engaging in the realm of religion and social policy must grapple with these challenges to ensure inclusive, respectful, and effective approaches that acknowledge the multifaceted nature of religious beliefs and practices.

Crafting Policies to Leverage the Positive Influence of Religion: The Involvement of Psychology

Dedicated research is crucial for providing objective insights to policymakers regarding the merits and drawbacks of various faith-based approaches to social and community issues (Chemerinsky & McDonald, 2018). A pivotal aspect of such research involves program and policy evaluation. This evaluation research should ideally encompass a wide spectrum of social programs, including those developed by faith-based organizations (e.g., Teen Challenge, Prison Fellowship), initiatives implemented in faith-based settings by professionals (e.g., health promotion and disease prevention programs), programs with a spiritual basis but outside formal religious structures (e.g., 12-step programs), and governmental policy initiatives such as the 1997 Charitable Choice legislation. Beyond merely assessing program or policy impact, the evaluation research should delve into the significance of different dimensions of religious programs (e.g., spiritual versus behavioral), the pathways of influence (both religious and non-religious mechanisms), the nature of outcomes (both positive and negative), implementation strategies, the connection to existing psychological theory and constructs, and the ecological context in which the program or policy operates.

For instance, examining the State Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) as a case study, research should investigate factors contributing to the success of faith-based organizations in enrolling families in CHIP, contrasting them with previously unsuccessful strategies (Chemerinsky & McDonald, 2018). Evaluation research can shed light on how faith-based organizations facilitate program enrollment, including the role of psychological theories in communication, interpersonal influence, and help-seeking behaviors. Beyond program evaluation, further research is required to explore the natural conditions, dimensions, and mechanisms linking religion or spirituality to various positive (e.g., health, community building, social justice) and negative (e.g., prejudice, discrimination, oppression, conflict)

outcomes. To inform future interventions and policy directions, systematic and strategic research efforts across these areas are crucial.

Both evaluation and applied research should ideally investigate processes and outcomes at multiple levels of analysis. When government interfaces with religion, studying impacts on program recipients, their families, faith-based volunteers, religious settings, other service providers, and larger community norms and capacity becomes essential (Golensky & Hager, 2020). To address the positive and negative potential of religion across individual, community, and societal levels, research needs to comprehend impacts and mechanisms at these different levels. At the policy level, there is a need for enhanced research funding priorities within national research institutes (e.g., National Institutes of Health) and state research agencies. Psychology can play a vital role in consolidating existing research and collaborating with allied groups to emphasize the significance of additional research efforts to policymakers and research institute leaders. Individual psychologists can contribute by informing elected officials of their work, encouraging private foundations and religious nonprofit groups to prioritize evaluation of faith-based programs, and allocating ample resources for such evaluation endeavors. An increased involvement of psychologists in research and research funding development, ideally in collaboration with other disciplines, including religion, is crucial to making a substantial impact in these areas.

CONCLUSION

This Research effectively delineates the psychological, behavioral, and social processes through which religion, as a meaning system, exerts its influence. The collective articles underscore the enduring resilience of religion across time and space, highlighting both its positive aspects (such as being a resource for prevention, group empowerment, community capacity building, culture challenge, and healing) and negative aspects (contributing to conflict, prejudice, and oppression). Given the evident discussions in newspaper headlines, the policy sector emerges as a crucial domain where the positive and negative potential of the religious sector is constantly deliberated. Psychology's involvement in the intersection of religion and policy faces numerous challenges, encompassing a lack of collaborative history, the constitutional separation of church and state, disparities in meaning systems between religion, policy, and psychology, and the intricate diversity of religion. Effectively addressing these challenges necessitates psychologists to acquire knowledge and cultural competence in the realms of religion and policy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

BIBLIOGRAPHY
Adams, M., Hall, M. R., Jaradat, A.-R., & Mari, H. (2022). Religious oppression. In *Teaching for diversity and social justice* (pp. 259–302). Routledge.

Arat, G., Eryigit-Madzwamuse, S., & Hart, A. (2023). Cultivating resilience among Hong Kong's underprivileged ethnic minority groups in the face of a pandemic through a social justice lens. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal.*

Bartkowski, J. P., & Grettenberger, S. E. (2018). *The arc of faith-based initiatives: Religion's changing role in welfare service provision.* Springer.

Birkland, T. A. (2019). *An introduction to the policy process: Theories, concepts, and models of public policy making.* Routledge.

Blomkamp, E. (2018). The promise of co-design for public policy. *Australian Journal of Public Administration, 77*(4), 729–743.

Castles, F. G. (2019). On religion and public policy: Does Catholicism make a difference? In *Religion and Politics* (pp. 529–550). Routledge.

Chemerinsky, E., & McDonald, B. P. (2018). Eviscerating a healthy church-state separation. *Wash. UL Rev., 96*, 1009.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). *Entering the field of qualitative research.* Thousand Oaks : Sage.

Golensky, M., & Hager, M. (2020). *Strategic leadership and management in nonprofit organizations: Theory and practice*. Oxford University Press.

Hankivsky, O., & Cormier, R. (2019). Intersectionality and public policy: Some lessons from existing models. *The Palgrave Handbook of Intersectionality in Public Policy*, 69–93.

Hannush, M. J., & Hannush, M. J. (2021). Balance: The Capacity to Establish and Maintain a Dialectical Balance Between Polar Lifeworld Meanings. *Markers of Psychosocial Maturation: A Dialectically-Informed Approach*, 65–94.

Jones, M. D., Smith-Walter, A., McBeth, M. K., & Shanahan, E. A. (2023). The narrative policy framework. In *Theories of the policy process* (pp. 161–195). Routledge.

Kite, M. E., Whitley Jr, B. E., & Wagner, L. S. (2022). *Psychology of prejudice and discrimination*. Taylor & Francis.

McDougal, M. S. (2013). Legal education and public policy: Professional training in the public interest. In *The Analysis of Political Behaviour* (pp. 21–119). Routledge.

Mueller, J. (2023). On Being a Listening Church: The US Catholic Church and Black Lives Matter. *Religions*, *14*(12), 1527.

Nistor, P. (2019). Social services offered by faith-based organizations in the post-secular society. *Postmodern Openings*, *10*(4), 65–103.

Saja, A. M. A., Teo, M., Goonetilleke, A., & Ziyath, A. M. (2018). An inclusive and adaptive framework for measuring social resilience to disasters. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, *28*, 862–873.

Schlager, E. (2019). A comparison of frameworks, theories, and models of policy processes. In *Theories of the Policy Process, Second Edition* (pp. 293–319). Routledge.

Wong, S., Pargament, K. I., & Faigin, C. A. (2018). Sustained by the sacred: Religious and spiritual factors for resilience in adulthood and aging. *Resilience in Aging: Concepts, Research, and Outcomes*, 191–214.